



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

|   |             |                      |                     |                  |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
| 10/533,778  | 05/03/2005  | Stephan Simon        | 10191/3675          | 7908             |
| 26646   | 7590        | 09/13/2007           | EXAMINER            |                  |
| KENYON & KENYON LLP<br>ONE BROADWAY<br>NEW YORK, NY 10004 |             |                      | TRAN, DALENA        |                  |
|   |             |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |             |                      | 3661                |                  |
|   |             |                      | NOTIFICATION DATE   | DELIVERY MODE    |
|   |             |                      | 09/13/2007          | ELECTRONIC       |

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

uspto@kenyon.com

## Office Action Summary

**Application No.**

10/533,778

**Applicant(s)**

SIMON ET AL.

**Examiner**

Dalena Tran

**Art Unit**

3661

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 June 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 12-26 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 12-26 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application                       |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/26/07</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

Art Unit: 3661

## DETAILED ACTION

### Notice to Applicant(s)

1. This office action is responsive to the amendment filed on 6/25/07. As per request, claims 12, 14-21, and 23-26 have been amended. Claims 27-28 have been cancelled. Thus, claims 1-26 are pending.

The prior art submitted on 2/26/07 has been considered.

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102*

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 12, 16, 18, 21, 23, and 25-26, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Namngani (5594414).

As per claim 12, Namngani discloses a method for determining an accident risk of a first object with at least one second object, comprising: determining the accident risk as a function of a collision probability and a hazard probability of the at least one second object in a predefined region, and determining the collision probability and the hazard probability as a function of motions of the first and at least one second object (see column 2, lines 1-67; column 6, lines 18-49; columns 7-8, lines 62-22; and columns 11-12, lines 5-67).

As per claim 16, Namngani discloses the motion of the first object is defined by way of at least one current position and its velocity, and the motion of the first object is defined by way of at least one current position and its velocity (see column 2, lines

Art Unit: 3661

1-67).

As per claims 18, and 21, Namngani discloses the motion of the at least one second object is defined by way of at least one current position (see column 2, lines 54-67; and columns 10-11, lines 8-3).

As per claim 23, Namngani discloses the motion of the at least one second object is additionally determined by way of its velocity relative to the first object and/or a second longitudinal acceleration and/or a second transverse acceleration and/or a second rotation angle (see column 6, lines 18-49).

As per claim 25, Namngani discloses environmental influences and/or a respective driving behavior are taken into account in determining the respective motion (see column 3, lines 1-42).

As per claim 26, Namngani discloses at least one of an indication and at least one signal to an actuator suite are generated as a function of the accident risk (see column 8, lines 24-67; and columns 18-20, lines 53-12).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 13-15, 17, 19-20, 22, and 24, are rejected under 35 U.S.C.103(a) as being unpatentable over Namngani (5594414) in view of Yoshioka et al. (6035053).

As per claims 13-15, Namngani does not disclose object class. However, Yoshika

Art Unit: 3661

et al. disclose an object class of the first and at least one second object are taken into account in determining the collision probability and the hazard probability, wherein the motion and the object class of the at least one second object are determined by way of a sensor suite, and the motion and the object class of the first object are retrieved from at least one data source (see column 4, lines 20-67; columns 5-6, lines 18-54; columns 7-8, lines 1-2; and columns 8-9, lines 42-2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teach of Namngani by combining object class for determining appropriately object size or type therefore to provide a proper collision avoidance.

As per claim 17, Namngani discloses the motion of the first object is defined by way of at least one current position and its velocity, and the motion of the first object is defined by way of at least one current position and its velocity (see column 2, lines 1-67).

As per claims 19-20, Namngani discloses the motion of the at least one second object is defined by way of at least one current position (see column 2, lines 54-67; and columns 10-11, lines 8-3).

As per claim 22, Namngani discloses the motion of the first object is additionally determined by way of at least one of its first longitudinal acceleration, first transverse acceleration, a first rotation angle and a first steering angle (see the abstract; column 2, lines 3-62; column 5, lines 18-46; and column 9, lines 3-65).

As per claim 24, Namngani discloses environmental influences and/or a respective driving behavior are taken into account in determining the respective motion (see column 3, lines 1-42).

Art Unit: 3661

**Remarks**

6. Applicant's argument filed on 6/25/07 has been fully considered. Upon updated search, the new ground of rejection has been set forth as above.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dalena Tran whose telephone number is 571-272-6968.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30 AM-4:00 PM), off every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Black can be reached on 571-272-6956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Patent Examiner

Dalena Tran



September 02, 2007